How are the degree of freedom responsible for entropy in BTZ spacetime?

Dharm Veer Singh and Shobhit Sachan

Centre for Theoretical Physics, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi- 110025 India.

Rajkiya Engineering College Mainpuri, Nauner 205001, Uttar Pradesh, India.

arXiv:1612.09387v1 [hep-th] 30 Dec 2016

ABSTRACT

We are using entanglement entropy approach to study how the entropy depends upon the location of degrees of freedom near and far from the horizon. We consider quantum fields in the vicinity of black holes space-time, the entropy receives logarithmic and power law corrections in area law. The power law corrections arises when the fields are in excited or mixed state (superposition of ground and excited state)a but logarithmic corrections are present in ground state. In this paper, we try to understand physically the deviation from the area law for the excited and mixed state. We show that the degrees of freedom near to the horizon contribute the total entropy, but when degrees of freedom far away from the horizon are more significant for excited/mixed state instead of ground state.

I. INTRODUCTION

The underlying physics of black holes have been the target of several investigations. One of the important characteristic of a black hole is its resemblance with a thermodynamical system, i.e. one can safely applies laws of thermodynamics to study black hole. The temperature of black hole (Hawking temperature) is proportional to its surface gravity on the horizon [1] and entropy of black hole is proportional to its horizon area [1, 2]. These quantities satisfy the first law of thermodynamics. Classically a black hole does not emit radiation but quantum mechanically it emit radiation (Hawking radiation). The discovery of Hawking radiation was a milestone regarding our understanding of phenomenon of black holes. There are many approach to study the black hole entropy e.g. Euclidean approach, Brick wall Model, D brane method, Entanglement entropy, Holographic entanglement entropy and many other. Among all the approach, we consider the entanglement entropy as the most attractive candidate to study the black hole entropy.

The entanglement entropy (EE) measures the quantum information due to the division of a system. The connection between the entropy with the information loss is the main feature of EE method which is not clear in other approaches. The EE is the non-geometric approach while the AdS/CFT correspondence provides a geometrical way to study the entropy of the black hole known as holographic entanglement entropy [3]. Bombelli at el. [4] attempted to understand the EE in 1986 and was rediscovered by Srednicki [5] where it was applied to quantum fields in flat space-time tracing over the degrees of freedom inside the entangling surface. Using this formalism Das et al. [6–8] calculated the entropy of scalar field in Schwarzschild black hole for the first excited state and mixed state. It was concluded that the EE is directly proportional to the area of the horizon, but the proportionality constant is not universal. The EE is one of the promising candidates to the source of black hole entropy and its quantum corrections [9–16].

In several cases, if one considers quantum fields in the vicinity of black holes, the entropy receives both logarithmic and power law corrections, which arises due to the quantum and thermal fluctuations [17] respectively. The power law corrections arise in the presence of excited state and mixed states [18, 19] and the logarithmic corrections arise due to the quantum fluctuations [20, 21]. We study the location of degrees of freedom near and far from the horizon of BTZ black hole space-time. In this attempt, there are two approaches to

study the degrees of freedom responsible for black hole entropy. The first approach associated with fundamental degrees of freedom related to string loops [22–24] and other one associated with quantum fields (scalar) propagating in the Black hole space-time. Depending on the approach, one counts certain degrees of freedom on the horizon but can not say about the relevant degrees of freedom and their precise location.

In this paper, our attempt is to study above problems in a more general framework, which in fact, may be relevant in other approaches. The starting point of our study is the entanglement between quantum fields lying inside and outside the horizon, which leads to black-hole entropy. When the fields are in ground state, area law is obeyed, but in the case of excited/mixed states, the degrees of freedom near the horizon leads to violation of area law [18, 19]. To understand physically the deviation from the area law for the excited/mixed state, we ascertain the location of the microscopic degrees of freedom that lead to entanglement entropy in these cases. We analysed although the degrees of freedom close to the horizon contribute most to the total entropy, the contributions from the degrees of freedom that are far from the horizon are more significant for the excited/mixed state.

This paper is organized as follows; The basic set up of scalar field propagating in BTZ black hole space-time in section (II) and study the location of degree of freedom in section (III). We present our results and its physical implication in section (IV).

II. BASIC SET-UP

A black hole solution in $(2+1)$ dimensional gravity with negative cosmological constant is BTZ black hole. The metric of BTZ black hole with cosmological ($\Lambda = -\frac{2}{l^2}$) [25] constant is given by;

$$ds^2 = -\left(-M + \frac{r^2}{l^2}\right) dt^2 + \left(-M + \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{J^2}{4r^2}\right) dr^2 + r^2\, d\phi^2 - J\, dt\, d\phi \tag{1}$$

where $-\infty < t < \infty$ and $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$. The solution is parametrized by the mass, M and angular momentum J of the black hole and they obey the conditions, $M > 0$ and $|J| < Ml$. The metric of BTZ black hole can be written in term of proper distance [12, 20],

$$ds^2 = -\Big(u^2 + \frac{J^2}{4l^2(u^2+M)}\Big)\, dt^2 + d\rho^2 + (\frac{J}{2l\sqrt{(u^2+M)}} dt - l\sqrt{u^2+M}\, d\phi)^2, \tag{2}$$

where we are using ($r^2(\rho) = l^2(u^2+M)$). The action of massless scalar field in the background of BTZ black hole is written as,

$$S = -\frac{1}{2}\int dt\sqrt{-g}\,(g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi)) \tag{3}$$

where $\sqrt{-g}$ and $g^{\mu\nu}$ are the determinant and the metric element of the BTZ black hole 2 respectively. The field Φ can be decomposed using the separation of variables $\Phi(t,\rho,\phi) = \sum_m \phi_m(t,\rho)\,e^{im\phi}$. This decomposition of Φ manifest the cylindrical symmetry of the system. Substituting the values of $\Phi, g^{\mu\nu}$ and $\sqrt{-g}$ in equation 2, we get the expression,

$$S = -\frac{1}{2}\int dt\left[\frac{\sqrt{(u^2+M)}}{u}\,\dot{\Phi}_m^2 + u\sqrt{(u^2+M)}(\partial_\rho\Phi_m^2) + \frac{um^2}{\sqrt{(u^2+M)}}\,\Phi_m^2 + \mu^2\Phi_m^2\right]. \tag{4}$$

The Hamiltonian of the scalar field in the BTZ background space-time is given by [12, 19, 20],

$$\begin{aligned} H \;=\; & \frac{1}{2}\int d\rho\left(\frac{u^2+\frac{J^2}{4(u^2+M)}}{(M+u^2)}\right)^{1/2}\pi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int d\rho\,d\rho'\,u\sqrt{\left[(u^2+M)+\frac{J^2}{4u^2}\right]}\,\partial_\rho(\Phi_m)^2 \\ & + \left(\frac{u^2+\frac{J^2}{4(u^2+M)}}{(M+u^2)}\right)^{1/2}\Phi_m(\rho)^2 - iJ\left(\frac{1}{(M+u^2)}\right)^{1/2}\Phi_m(\rho)\,\dot{\Phi}_m(\rho) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

This Hamiltonian is not diagonal, therefore to diagonalize it we define the new momentum $\tilde{\pi}_m = \pi_m - iJm/\left(u[(u^2+M)+J^2/4u^2]^{1/2}\right)\Phi_m$. The canonical variables field (ϕ_m) and diagonalized momentum ($\tilde{\pi}_m$) satisfy the following relation,

$$[\phi_m(\rho),\tilde{\pi}_m(\rho)] = \frac{iJm}{u\sqrt{\left[(u^2+M)+\frac{J^2}{4u^2}\right]}}\delta_{m,m'}\delta(\rho-\rho'). \tag{6}$$

Using the diagonalized momentum, the diagonalized Hamiltonian can be written as [12, 19, 20],

$$\begin{aligned} H \;=\; & \frac{1}{2}\int d\rho\,\tilde{\pi}_m^2(\rho) + \frac{1}{2}\int d\rho\,d\rho'\,u\sqrt{\left[(u^2+M)+\frac{J^2}{4u^2}\right]} \\ & \left(\partial_\rho\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{[u^2+\frac{J^2}{4(u^2+M)}]}}{\sqrt{(u^2+M)}}}\;\psi_m\right)\right)^2 + \mu^2\frac{u^2+\frac{J^2}{4(u^2+M)}}{(M+u^2)}\psi_m^2, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$\psi_m(t,\rho) = \left(\frac{u^2+\frac{J^2}{4(u^2+M)}}{(M+u^2)}\right)^{1/4}\Phi_m(t,\rho), \tag{8}$$

One can descretized the system by following substitution,

$$\rho \to (A - 1/2)a, \qquad \delta(\rho - \rho') \to \delta_{AB}/a \tag{9}$$

where A,B=1,2 ....N and "a" is UV cut-off length. The continuum limit is regained by taking the limit $a \to 0$ and $N \to \infty$ while the size of the system remains fixed. The cut-off parameter, "a" is defined as lattice spacing becomes the cut-off length at Plank scale in quantum gravity. The Hamiltonian of the system of our study can be discretized by making following replacements to fields, momenta and interaction term,

$$\psi_m(\rho) \to q^A, \qquad \tilde{\pi}_m(\rho) \to p_A/a, \qquad V(\rho, \rho') \to V_{AB}/a^2. \tag{10}$$

After above mentioned replacements, corresponding discretized Hamiltonian of the scalar field in the BTZ black hole is identical to the the set of N coupled harmonic oscillators and it is given by,

$$H = \sum_{A,B=1}^{N} \Big[\frac{1}{2a}\delta^{AB} p_A\, p_B + \frac{1}{2} V_{AB}\, q_m^A\, q_m^B\Big] \tag{11}$$

where $p_A = a\delta_{AB}\dot{q}^B$ is the canonical momentum conjugate to q^A. The interaction matrix elements V_{AB} (where $A, B = 1, 2 \ldots\ldots N$) is written as [20],

$$\begin{aligned} V_{AB} = \quad & \frac{u_A}{\sqrt{u_A^2 + M}}\Big(u_{A+1/2}\sqrt{u_{A+1/2}^2 + M} + u_{A-1/2}\sqrt{u_{A-1/2}^2 + M}\Big)\delta_{A,B} \\ & -2u_{A+1/2}\sqrt{u_{A+1/2}^2 + M}\sqrt{\frac{u_A}{\sqrt{u_A^2 + M}}}\sqrt{\frac{u_{A+1}}{\sqrt{u_{A+1}^2 + M}}}\delta_{A,B+1} \\ & +m^2\,\frac{u_A^2}{u_A^2 + M}\psi_m^{A^2}\delta_{A,B}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The matrix form of the interaction term V_{AB} is,

$$V_{(AB)}^m = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & & & & & & \\ & \Sigma_2 & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & |\overline{\Delta A - 2} & \overline{\Sigma_{A-2}} & | & \\ & & & |\Delta_{A-1} & \Sigma_{A-1} & \Delta_A & | \\ & & & | & \underline{\Sigma_A} & \underline{\Delta_{A+1}}| & \\ & & & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

The diagonal and off diagonal terms of the matrix V_{AB} can be identified from the equation (3). The various matrix elements of V_{AB} matrix are written as,

$$\Sigma_A^{(m)} = \frac{\sqrt{[u_A^2+\frac{J^2}{4(u_A^2+M)}]}}{\sqrt{(u_A^2+M)}}\Big(u_{A+\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{[(u_{A+1/2}^2+M)+\frac{J^2}{4u_{A+1/2}^2}} - u_{A-1/2}\sqrt{[(u_{A-1/2}^2+M)+\frac{J^2}{4u_{A-1/2}^2}}\Big)+m^2\frac{u_A^2+\frac{J^2}{4(u_A^2+M)}}{(M+u_A^2)}. \quad (14)$$

$$\Delta_A = -u_{A+1/2}\sqrt{[(u_{A+1/2}^2+M)+\frac{J^2}{4u_{A+1/2}^2}}\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{[u_{A+1}^2+\frac{J^2}{4(u_{A+1}^2+M)}]}}{\sqrt{(u_{A+1}^2+M)}}}\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{[u_A^2+\frac{J^2}{4(u_A^2+M)}]}}{\sqrt{(u_A^2+M)}}} \quad (15)$$

The off-diagonal term of the matrix represents the interaction of the system. These terms represent the interaction between the nearest neighbours of the harmonic oscillator.

III. LOCATION OF DEGREES OF FREEDOM

In order to understand how the degrees of freedom near/far from the horizon are contributing to correction terms and how the correction arises/vanishes in both cases, we have to consider the state of quantum fields around the black hole. A closer look at the interaction matrix (12) tells us about the location of degrees of freedom. The second last term of the interaction matrix (12) is responsible for the power law correction. The matrix element in the bracket is called the window (as shown in the matrix). Let us set off-diagonal term of the matrix (13) is zero everywhere expect window. Analysing this matrix, we study,

1. The percentage contribution of the total entropy as a function of window position,
2. The percentage contribution of the total entropy as a function of window width.

A. Percentage contribution of entropy as a function of window position:

To study the percentage contribution of the total entropy as a function of window position, we use the following procedure [8],

A1 First we set the off-diagonal elements of V_{AB} is zero, except in a 'window', whose centre is at a point q, where q is a window position.

A2 the indices A, B of the matrix V_{AB} run from $q - s$ to $q + s$, where $s \leq q$, and the interaction region is restricted to a width of $s = \frac{d-1}{2}$ radial lattice points, where d is the window width.

A3 the values of q vary from 0 to a value greater than n, and thus allow the window to move rigidly across from the origin to a point outside the horizon at n.

A4 for every window location (values of q) and fixed window width d, we compute the entanglement entropy $S(q, \text{fixed } d)$ in each of the cases ground state (GS), excited state (ES) and mixed state (MS) and find the percentage contribution of the entropy as a function of q by using the following relation,

$$pc(q) \;=\; \frac{S(q, fixed\ d)}{S_{total}} \times 100\,, \tag{16}$$

where S_{total} is the total entropy with all interactions, i.e., with the indices i, j running from 0 to N is shown in Figure 1.

The figures show percentage contribution of the total entropy as a function of window position (q), for fixed window size with fixed value of $N = 300, n = 100, 150$ for ground state, excited state and superposed states shown in Figure 1. The plots of the ground state



FIG. 1: Plot of total entropy as a function of window position for fixed window size $N = 300$, $n = 100$ and 150, for the ground state and excited state with excitation number $o = 30$ and 50.

and excited state percentage entropy contribution with window position (q) is shown in Figure 1. From these graph, we can say that:

- When the percentage contribution of entropy is zero when the interaction term is zero, The contribution suggests entropy rises significantly in the presence of interaction term. This observation suggests that the entropy rises significantly when the window includes the horizon i.e, entanglement between degrees of freedom inside and outside the horizon contributes to entropy.

- When the percentage contribution of entropy depends upon the window position. when there is no excitation (ground state), the peaks are symmetrically placed inside and outside the region. this observation suggests that degrees of freedom close to the horizon contribute more to the entropy compared to those far from it.

- for the excited state, the peak diminishes with increasing the excitation number (o).The peak increases(decreases), when the window is near(far) away from the (n). These indicate that, for ES, there is a significant contribution from the degrees of freedom far-away from the horizon.

From the above discussion, we confirm that the entanglement between degrees of freedom inside and outside the region (horizon) contributes to the entropy. The contribution of entropy is more from the degrees of freedom near the horizon and they decrease with increasing the excitation number.

B. Percentage contribution of entropy as a function of window width

To study the percentage contribution of the total entropy as a function of window width, we use the following procedure,

B1 we set the off-diagonal elements of V_{AB} is zero, except in a window, whose centre is at a point q.

B2 The index A of the elements of the matrix V_{AB} varies from (p) to (n), where $0 \leq p \leq n$.

B3 The width $d = (n - p)$ of the window vary from 0 to n.

B4 The entanglement entropy for every window width (d) finds the percentage contribution of the entropy as a function of (d) is written as,

$$pc(d) = \frac{S(d)}{S_{total}} \times 100 \tag{17}$$

where S_{total} is the entropy for the full width $d = n, p = 0$.



FIG. 2: Plot of the percentage contribution of $S_{ent}(t)$ for the GS and ES $N = 300$, $n = 100$ and 150 with excitation no $o = 30$ and 50.

The effect of degrees of freedom on the entropy in the superposed states are the intermediate between the ground state and superposed state as shown in figure Figure 2. We plot the graph between the percentage contribution of entropy with the window width d Figure 2 for the ground state, excited state and superposed states with n=100 and 150 for fixed N. These graph shows that for the ground state follow the area law at the small value of the window width $d = 5$, but for the excited state it is recover at the higher value of $d = 20$ for the excitation number $o = 30$ and $d = 25$ for the excitation number $o = 50$. From this, we conclude that

- The contribution of the degrees of freedom near the horizon is more for the excited state and such contribution increases with excitation number (o).
- The entropy depends upon the location of degrees of freedom.
- The degrees of freedom of the entropy for the superposed states are intermediate between the ground state and excited state.

IV. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have studied the location of degrees of freedom near/far from the horizon. We shown that how the degrees of freedom are responsible for the entropy. The behaviour of the degrees of freedom are depicted in Figure 1 and Figure 2. We can see clearly from the Figure 1 that the peak is symmetric inside and outside the horizon for the ground state but for the excited state the peak diminishes with increasing excitation number $o = 10, 30$. The peak increase when the window is near from the horizon and decrease when far from the horizon. From these observation we can say clearly the degrees of freedom are responsible for entropy and contribute more for ground state rather then excited/mixed states. The Figure 2 shows that the ground state entropy and excited state entropy plots has similar behaviour at large window width (position of degrees of freedom) but effect is clearly visible at small window width. This is related to power law correction which arises due to the thermal fluctuations applicable near the horizon, where the large number of the fields mode (degrees of freedom) are present. These results would be more significant in case of higher dimensional black holes. One can also study dependence of entropy on degrees of freedom for fermions and gauge fields in BTZ black hole space-time.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thanks Prof. Sushant Ghosh and Dr. Sanjay Siwach for useful discussion. Dharm Veer Singh acknowledges the University Grant Commission, India, for financial support through the D. S. Kothari Post Doctoral Fellowship (Grant No.: BSR/2015-16/PH/0014).

---

[1] J.D. Bekenstein " Black Hole and Entropy" Phy. Rev. D **7**, 2333 (1973); " Black Hole and the Second Law," Lett. al Nuovo Ciemnto 4 (1972) 15; "Generalized Second Law of thermodynamics in black hole Physics," Phy. Rev. D **9**, 3292 (1974); "Statistical black hole thermodynamics," Phy. Rev. D **12**, 3077 (1975);" Black Hole and Entropy" Phy. Rev. D **7**, 2333 (1973).

[2] S.W. Hawking" Black Hole and Thermodynamics" Phy. Rev. D **13**, 191 (1976).

[3] Shinsei Ryu and Tadashi Takayanagi "Holographic Derivation of Entanglement Entropy from AdS/CFT" Phy. Rev. Lett. **96** 181602 (2006) arXiv: 0603001 [hep-th].

[4] Luca Bombelli, Rabinder K. Koul, Joohan Lee and Rafael D. Sorkin, "Quantum Source of entropy for Black Hole" Phy. Rev. D **34**, 373 (1986).

[5] Mark Srednicki, "Entropy and Area" Phy. Rev. Lett. **71** 666 (1993) arXiv:9303048 [hep-th].

[6] S. Das and S. Shankaranarayanan,"How robust is the entanglement entropy: Area relation?," Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 121701 arXiv: 0511066 [gr-qc].

[7] Saurya Das, S. Shankaranarayanan, Sourav Sur "Black hole entropy from entanglement: A review" arXiv:0806.0402 [gr-qc].

[8] S. Das and S Shankarnarayanan " Where are the black hole entropy degree of freedom?," Classical and Quantum Gravity **24** 5299-5306 (2007) arXiv:070382 [gr-qc].

[9] H. Casini and M. Huerta, "Entanglement entropy in free quantum field theory," J. Phys. A **42**, 504007 (2009) arXiv:0905.2562 [hep-th].

[10] S. N. Solodukhin, "Entanglement entropy of black holes," Living Rev. Rel. **14** (2011) 8 arXiv:1104.3712 [hep-th].

[11] M. Huerta, "Numerical Determination of the Entanglement Entropy for Free Fields in the Cylinder," Phys. Lett. B **710** (2012) 691 arXiv:1112.1277 [hep-th].

[12] Dharm Veer Singh and Sanjay Siwach "Thermodynamics of BTZ Black Hole and Entanglement Entropy" Journal of phys. Conf. Series **481** (2014) 012014.

[13] S. Sachan and D. V. Singh, "Logarithmic Corrections to the Entropy of Scalar Field in BTZ Black Hole Space-time," Int. Journal of Mod. Phys. D Vol. 26, (2017) 1750038 [arXiv:1412.7170 [hep-th]].

[14] M. Cadoni, "Entanglement entropy of two-dimensional Anti-de Sitter black holes," Phys. Lett. B **653** (2007) 434 arXiv:0704.0140 [hep-th].

[15] M. Cadoni and M. Melis, "Holographic entanglement entropy of the BTZ black hole," Found. Phys. **40** (2010) 638 [arXiv:0907.1559 [hep-th].

[16] M. Cadoni and M. Melis, "Entanglement Entropy of AdS Black Holes," Entropy 2010, 12, 2244-2267.

[17] A Chatterjee and P Majumdar " Black Hole Entropy: quantum vs. thermal fluctuations," arXiv: 0303030 [hep-th]

[18] S. Das, S. Shankaranarayanan and S. Sur, "Power-law corrections to entanglement entropy of

black holes," Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 064013 arXiv:0705.2070 [gr-qc].

[19] Dharm Veer Singh "Power law corrections to BTZ Black hole Entropy " Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 24:1550001 arXiv: 1406.3809 [hep-th].

[20] Dharm Veer Singh and Sanjay Siwach "Scalar Field in BTZ Black Hole Space-time and Entanglement Entropy", Class. Quantum Grav. **30** (2013) 235034 arXiv: 1106.1005 [hep-th].

[21] Dharm Veer Singh and Sanjay Siwach "Fermion Field in BTZ Black Hole Space-time and Entanglement Entropy" arXiv: 1406.3799 [hep-th].

[22] A. Strominger, C. Vafa, "" Phys. Lett. B**379**, 99, (1996)

[23] A. Ashtekar, J. Baez, A. Corichi and K. Krasnov, "Quantum geometry and black hole entropy," Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 904 (1998) [gr-qc/9710007].

[24] A. Dasgupta, "Semi-classical quantisation of space-times with apparent horizons," Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 635 (2006) [gr-qc/0505017].

[25] Maximo Banados, Claudio Teitelboim and Jorge Zanelli, "The Black Hole in Three Dimensional Spacetime" Phy. Rev. Lett. **69**(1992) 1849.

[26] R.B.Mann and S.N.Solodukhin,"Quantum scalar field on three-dimensional (BTZ) black hole instanton: Heat kernel, effective action and thermodynamics,"Phys. Rev. D **55**, 3622 (1997) arXiv:9609085[hep-th].

[27] S. Carlip, "The (2+1)-Dimensional Black Hole"Class. Quantum Gravity **12**(1995) 2853 arXiv:9506079v1 [gr-qc].